2	NOT FOR PUBLICATION			
3				
4				
5	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
6		EASTERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFOR	RNIA
7				
8	In re		Case No.	11-62509-A-7
9		Development, Inc.		
10		Debtor.		
11			./	
12	Randell Parker,		Adv. No.	14-01076-A
13		Plaintiff,	KDG-1	
14	vs.			
15				
16	Verlyn Gaines,			
17		Defendant.	,	
18			./	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23		MEMORAN	DUM	
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

3

1

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 2.4

25

26

27

28

Chapter 7 trustee Randell Parker ("Parker") seeks to tax costs of \$1,632.49 to defendant Verlyn Gaines ("Gaines") after prevailing at trial.

## FACTS

Shaver Lakewoods Development, Inc., filed a chapter 7 bankruptcy. Parker was appointed the trustee. Parker brought an adversary proceeding against Gaines to determine the nature, extent and validity of Gaines's lien, as well as the existence and amount of his claim. Parker prevailed at trial and was awarded costs under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7054(b).

Parker filed a Ex Parte Application to Tax Costs in the amount of \$1,632.49. Gaines opposes Parker's right to tax (1) transcript costs of \$692.69 because they were not "necessarily obtained for use in the case," 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2); and (2) witness costs of \$336.45 for Robert Rodriquez and Angela Rodriquez, who were not called as witnesses, nor present, at trial, 28 U.S.C. § 1920(3).2

#### JURISDICTION

This court has jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334, 157(a); 11 U.S.C. § 523; General Order No. 182 of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California. This a core proceeding. See 28 U.S.C.  $\S$  157(b)(2)(B),(K).

#### DISCUSSION

#### I. Legal Standards

Costs may be taxed to the non-prevailing party in an adversary proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 1920; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7054(b); L.R. 292,

Requests to tax costs are not properly submitted by ex parte application, but rather by Bill of Costs with supporting documentation. See L.R. 292(b), incorporated by LBR 1001-1(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gaines opposition does not comply with LBR 9014-1(c)(4)(omission of the docket control number, i.e., KDG-1).

incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1001(c). Unless authorized by other statute or by contract, 28 U.S.C. § 1920 defines and limits the types of costs that may be taxed. *Crawford Fitting Co. v. J.T. Gibbons, Inc.*, 482 U.S. 437, 440-42 (1987). As applicable here, the prevailing party may recover costs for court reporter's fees, 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2)), and for witness fees, 28 U.S.C. § 1821. L.R. 292(f).

## II. Costs Allowed and Disallowed

2.4

The prevailing party must itemize its costs with sufficient detail to allow the court to independently conclude that each expense is of the species taxable under § 1920. Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc., 2012 WL 6761576 at \* 3 (N.D. Cal. October 23, 2012). Once it does so, the costs are presumptively taxable. Id. And it is the responsibility of the party to be taxed to demonstrate that the costs are either not taxable or unreasonable in amount. Majeske v. City of Chicago, 218 F.3d 816, 824 (7th Cir. 2000).

# A. Transcripts

Transcripts are taxable, provided they were "necessarily obtained for use in the case." 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2); Manildra Milling Corp. v. Ogilvie Mills, Inc., 76 F.3d 1178, 1184 (Fed. Cir. 1996); Summit Technology, Inc.v. Nidek Co., Ltd., 435 F.3d 1371, 1380-81 (Fed. Cir. 2006). Transcripts obtained for discovery or investigative purposes are not recoverable. Coats v. Penrod Drilling Corp., 5 F.3d 877, 891 (5th Cir. 1993). The deposition of the adverse party, Gaines, is necessary to ascertain the nature and extent of his knowledge and to pin him down to a story. Costs of

\$456.04 will be allowed. Transcripts of the meetings of creditors and of the courts findings after trial were convenient, not necessary. Costs of \$236.65 are disallowed.

# Witnesses Neither Called, Nor Present

Section 1821 conditions payment on physical appearance by the witness on the date and at the place of trial. 28 U.S.C. § 1821(a)(1) ("a witness in attendance"); Jones v. Unisys Corp., 54 F.3d 624, 633 (10th Cir. 1995); Coats v. Penrod Drilling Corp., 5 F.3d 877,, 891 (5th Cir. 1993). Neither Rodriguez was called to testify at trial, nor otherwise attended trial. As a result, \$336.45

### CONCLUSION

For each of these reasons, the motion will be granted in part and denied in part. Costs of \$1,059.393 will be taxed against Gaines. The court will issue a separate order.

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Fredrick E. Clement

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> That amount is calculated by deducting \$573.10 (costs disallowed) from \$1,632.49 (costs prayed).